

Hypothetically Speaking, what if the Supplemental Allocation were based instead on the Perkins definition of Economically Disadvantaged?

HOW THIS ILLUSTRATION WORKS: The Supplemental Allocation uses a direct tally of financial aid utilizations (Pell Grants, Promise Grants, and AB 540 Fee Waivers) to allocate approximately \$1.4B worth of apportionment. This exercise simply asks the question: What if exact same dollars were allocated, alternatively, by a headcount of Perkins Economically Disadvantaged students in each district? *

THESE NUMBERS PROVIDE POWERFUL EVIDENCE that financial aid utilizations tell an incomplete story of the economic need of our students. In the table below, district allocations greater by the Perkins metric are highlighted yellow, while district allocations greater by the status quo are highlighted blue. A disparate impact can be observed, in that the status quo tends to undercount the disadvantaged students in high-cost regions. It's important to note: the negative impact to such students is especially harsh, insofar as districts in high-cost regions face higher staffing costs; when their funding is cut, the districts have nowhere to go but to enact draconian reductions in programs and services.

IMPORTANT NOTE: Changing or expanding the definition of low-income *does NOT solve the fundamental problem of the Supplemental Allocation's structural incompatibility with the goals and intentions around Equity for Students*. It is accurate, however, to say that total reliance on financial aid utilizations exacerbates the structural incompatibility; while doing a better job of recognizing low-income students statewide will diminish the harm done in some districts, the structural inequity of the Supplemental Allocation still requires redress.

Data from FY 2017-18		Current Supplemental Allocation Based on Financial Aid Utilizations <i>Source: 2018-19 P2 Apportionment</i>				A HYPOTHETICAL Allocation Based on headcounts of Perkins Economically Disadvantaged students — FOR ILLUSTRATIVE PURPOSES ONLY —					
Bay-12 Districts are Highlighted		Allocation per point >> \$919.00				Allocation per point >> \$1,032.89					
District	Region	2017-18 TCR + 2.71%	2018-19 P2 Financial Aid Headcount	2018-19 P2 Supplemental Allocation	% of TCR **	Perkins Econ. Disadvantaged Headcount *	% of total Headcount	Not Perkins	Total Head- count	Hypothetical Allocation	% of TCR **
Allan Hancock	6	\$60,006,490.00	12,421	\$11,414,899.00	19.0%	12,650	62.3%	7,646	20,296	\$13,066,018.60	21.8%
Antelope Valley	6	\$64,309,876.00	22,444	\$20,626,036.00	32.1%	15,550	85.1%	2,717	18,267	\$16,061,390.46	25.0%
Barstow	9	\$19,187,728.00	5,528	\$5,080,232.00	26.5%	3,923	83.1%	800	4,723	\$4,052,015.10	21.1%
Butte-Glenn	1	\$60,465,102.00	13,703	\$12,593,057.00	20.8%	11,025	69.6%	4,823	15,848	\$11,387,577.48	18.8%
Cabrillo	4	\$62,721,594.00	10,097	\$9,279,143.00	14.8%	9,477	55.8%	7,506	16,983	\$9,788,668.64	15.6%
Cerritos	8	\$95,980,074.00	30,403	\$27,940,357.00	29.1%	23,547	72.3%	9,043	32,590	\$24,321,386.57	25.3%
Chabot-Las Positas	4	\$110,597,916.00	16,703	\$15,350,057.00	13.9%	24,963	83.3%	5,006	29,969	\$25,783,954.34	23.3%
Chaffey	9	\$96,208,271.00	27,273	\$25,063,887.00	26.1%	23,023	76.7%	6,994	30,017	\$23,780,153.86	24.7%
Citrus	8	\$69,985,763.00	16,509	\$15,171,771.00	21.7%	16,555	82.0%	3,639	20,194	\$17,099,441.74	24.4%
Coast	8	\$189,278,358.00	45,200	\$41,538,800.00	21.9%	42,229	64.8%	22,919	65,148	\$43,617,778.63	23.0%
Compton	7	\$36,436,547.00	7,961	\$7,316,159.00	20.1%	9,526	85.7%	1,588	11,114	\$9,839,280.10	27.0%

Bay-12 Districts are
Highlighted

Bay-12 Districts are Highlighted		Allocation per point >> \$919.00				Allocation per point >> \$1,032.89					
District	Region	2017-18 TCR + 2.71%	2018-19 P2 Financial Aid Headcount	2018-19 P2 Supplemental Allocation	% of TCR **	Perkins Econ. Disadvantaged Headcount *	% of total Headcount	Not Perkins	Total Head- count	Hypothetical Allocation	% of TCR **
Contra Costa	3	\$174,860,154.00	29,771	\$27,359,549.00	15.6%	27,089	58.5%	19,190	46,279	\$27,979,871.78	16.0%
Copper Mountain	9	\$13,261,478.00	3,101	\$2,849,819.00	21.5%	2,109	70.5%	882	2,991	\$2,178,358.36	16.4%
Desert	9	\$60,227,485.00	15,558	\$14,297,802.00	23.7%	11,830	71.6%	4,684	16,514	\$12,219,051.39	20.3%
El Camino	7	\$117,161,344.00	26,669	\$24,508,811.00	20.9%	23,953	74.7%	8,106	32,059	\$24,740,738.63	21.1%
Feather River	1	\$13,999,898.00	1,641	\$1,508,079.00	10.8%	2,256	68.4%	1,040	3,296	\$2,330,192.73	16.6%
Foothill-De Anza	4	\$151,922,001.00	21,988	\$20,206,972.00	13.3%	23,921	40.6%	35,048	58,969	\$24,707,686.25	16.3%
Gavilan	4	\$33,146,984.00	5,156	\$4,738,364.00	14.3%	4,725	50.2%	4,686	9,411	\$4,880,390.35	14.7%
Glendale	7	\$90,610,396.00	18,224	\$16,747,856.00	18.5%	15,814	60.4%	10,381	26,195	\$16,334,072.59	18.0%
Grossmont-Cuyamaca	10	\$112,352,905.00	28,434	\$26,130,846.00	23.3%	24,563	77.1%	7,304	31,867	\$25,370,799.60	22.6%
Hartnell	4	\$44,303,668.00	11,510	\$10,577,690.00	23.9%	9,583	55.3%	7,750	17,333	\$9,898,154.65	22.3%
Imperial	10	\$44,130,285.00	13,852	\$12,729,988.00	28.8%	9,347	85.8%	1,542	10,889	\$9,654,393.35	21.9%
Kern	5	\$139,269,693.00	39,307	\$36,123,133.00	25.9%	30,662	76.5%	9,393	40,055	\$31,670,376.48	22.7%
Lake Tahoe	2	\$14,700,532.00	2,714	\$2,494,166.00	17.0%	2,763	42.2%	3,787	6,550	\$2,853,866.36	19.4%
Lassen	1	\$13,955,225.00	3,420	\$3,142,980.00	22.5%	3,403	76.6%	1,041	4,444	\$3,514,913.94	25.2%
Long Beach	8	\$122,347,064.00	31,600	\$29,040,400.00	23.7%	25,215	73.0%	9,339	34,554	\$26,044,241.83	21.3%
Los Angeles	7	\$622,259,976.00	153,749	\$141,295,331.00	22.7%	121,228	61.1%	77,279	198,507	\$125,214,806.60	20.1%
Los Rios	2	\$312,197,311.00	77,245	\$70,988,155.00	22.7%	68,006	71.7%	26,849	94,855	\$70,242,502.86	22.5%
Marin	3	\$26,300,883.00	3,434	\$3,155,846.00	12.0%	3,143	37.9%	5,141	8,284	\$3,246,363.36	12.3%
Mendocino-Lake	2	\$23,042,131.00	4,367	\$4,013,273.00	17.4%	3,653	65.0%	1,963	5,616	\$3,773,135.65	16.4%
Merced	5	\$58,027,464.00	14,552	\$13,373,288.00	23.0%	11,621	68.7%	5,306	16,927	\$12,003,178.04	20.7%
Mira Costa	10	\$65,262,003.00	14,201	\$13,050,719.00	20.0%	12,168	49.5%	12,400	24,568	\$12,568,167.14	19.3%
Monterey	4	\$39,942,761.00	6,683	\$6,141,677.00	15.4%	6,270	49.0%	6,520	12,790	\$6,476,200.53	16.2%
Mt. San Antonio	8	\$181,205,395.00	38,305	\$35,202,295.00	19.4%	30,636	44.3%	38,568	69,204	\$31,643,521.42	17.5%
Mt. San Jacinto	9	\$73,455,559.00	21,289	\$19,564,591.00	26.6%	16,430	68.9%	7,417	23,847	\$16,970,330.88	23.1%
Napa Valley	2	\$32,477,200.00	5,648	\$5,190,512.00	16.0%	5,213	57.9%	3,784	8,997	\$5,384,439.13	16.6%
North Orange CO	8	\$207,531,995.00	39,338	\$36,151,622.00	17.4%	39,457	52.8%	35,215	74,672	\$40,754,616.29	19.6%
Ohlone	4	\$50,085,990.00	5,501	\$5,055,419.00	10.1%	5,840	42.6%	7,857	13,697	\$6,032,059.18	12.0%
Palomar	10	\$111,490,107.00	20,245	\$18,605,155.00	16.7%	20,918	57.9%	15,236	36,154	\$21,605,927.05	19.4%
Palo Verde	9	\$17,087,631.00	3,554	\$3,266,126.00	19.1%	3,894	53.6%	3,367	7,261	\$4,022,061.38	23.5%
Pasadena	7	\$138,108,474.00	30,969	\$28,460,511.00	20.6%	27,091	65.1%	14,522	41,613	\$27,981,937.55	20.3%
Peralta	3	\$117,782,211.00	24,599	\$22,606,481.00	19.2%	23,662	75.3%	7,744	31,406	\$24,440,168.56	20.8%
Rancho Santiago	8	\$169,318,347.00	27,520	\$25,290,880.00	14.9%	30,679	33.5%	61,000	91,679	\$31,687,935.56	18.7%
Redwoods	1	\$27,626,532.00	5,862	\$5,387,178.00	19.5%	4,813	69.8%	2,080	6,893	\$4,971,284.39	18.0%
Rio Hondo	8	\$76,496,508.00	17,899	\$16,449,181.00	21.5%	17,615	57.4%	13,068	30,683	\$18,194,301.80	23.8%
Riverside	9	\$178,432,906.00	45,868	\$42,152,692.00	23.6%	37,182	75.3%	12,190	49,372	\$38,404,798.72	21.5%
San Bernardino	9	\$91,458,422.00	24,180	\$22,221,420.00	24.3%	21,290	78.6%	5,802	27,092	\$21,990,160.96	24.0%
San Diego	10	\$252,718,089.00	48,985	\$45,017,215.00	17.8%	52,312	50.9%	50,364	102,676	\$54,032,376.70	21.4%
San Francisco	3	\$131,835,157.00	17,497	\$16,079,743.00	12.2%	24,855	36.7%	42,844	67,699	\$25,672,402.56	19.5%

Bay-12 Districts are
Highlighted

Bay-12 Districts are Highlighted		Allocation per point >> \$919.00				Allocation per point >> \$1,032.89					
District	Region	2017-18 TCR + 2.71%	2018-19 P2 Financial Aid Headcount	2018-19 P2 Supplemental Allocation	% of TCR **	Perkins Econ. Disadvantaged Headcount *	% of total Headcount	Not Perkins	Total Head- count	Hypothetical Allocation	% of TCR **
San Joaquin Delta	5	\$92,726,643.00	22,967	\$21,106,673.00	22.8%	18,822	79.8%	4,772	23,594	\$19,440,996.22	21.0%
San Jose-Evergreen	4	\$72,536,301.00	17,135	\$15,747,065.00	21.7%	15,183	62.0%	9,308	24,491	\$15,682,320.99	21.6%
San Luis Obispo	6	\$50,399,559.00	8,298	\$7,625,862.00	15.1%	7,733	59.3%	5,309	13,042	\$7,987,313.98	15.8%
San Mateo	3	\$100,953,254.00	16,016	\$14,718,704.00	14.6%	15,220	52.5%	13,752	28,972	\$15,720,537.80	15.6%
Santa Barbara	6	\$74,578,260.00	14,018	\$12,882,542.00	17.3%	14,813	67.8%	7,036	21,849	\$15,300,152.85	20.5%
Santa Clarita	6	\$97,008,145.00	15,778	\$14,499,982.00	14.9%	15,451	49.6%	15,690	31,141	\$15,959,134.66	16.5%
Santa Monica	7	\$133,439,383.00	28,907	\$26,565,533.00	19.9%	26,241	58.2%	18,822	45,063	\$27,103,983.73	20.3%
Sequoias	5	\$63,056,396.00	16,712	\$15,358,328.00	24.4%	12,076	80.6%	2,913	14,989	\$12,473,141.56	19.8%
Shasta-Tehama-Trinity	1	\$42,921,686.00	11,693	\$10,745,867.00	25.0%	7,832	57.5%	5,780	13,612	\$8,089,569.78	18.8%
Sierra	2	\$88,915,754.00	18,115	\$16,647,685.00	18.7%	15,089	60.9%	9,673	24,762	\$15,585,229.62	17.5%
Siskiyou	1	\$18,642,887.00	2,114	\$1,942,766.00	10.4%	1,808	28.5%	4,546	6,354	\$1,867,459.42	10.0%
Solano	2	\$49,841,121.00	8,239	\$7,571,641.00	15.2%	9,037	71.2%	3,648	12,685	\$9,334,198.43	18.7%
Sonoma	3	\$109,817,839.00	15,087	\$13,864,953.00	12.6%	17,210	45.3%	20,760	37,970	\$17,775,982.62	16.2%
South Orange	8	\$156,810,869.00	21,917	\$20,141,723.00	12.8%	23,065	41.6%	32,414	55,479	\$23,823,535.11	15.2%
Southwestern	10	\$95,122,607.00	22,668	\$20,831,892.00	21.9%	22,957	98.4%	366	23,323	\$23,711,983.33	24.9%
State Center	5	\$186,065,439.00	50,301	\$46,226,619.00	24.8%	37,717	73.3%	13,773	51,490	\$38,957,393.18	20.9%
Ventura	6	\$157,992,512.00	33,704	\$30,973,976.00	19.6%	26,688	64.2%	14,891	41,579	\$27,565,684.15	17.4%
Victor Valley	9	\$56,231,441.00	19,005	\$17,465,595.00	31.1%	13,282	80.4%	3,237	16,519	\$13,718,803.09	24.4%
West Hills	5	\$38,664,606.00	9,660	\$8,877,540.00	23.0%	6,920	70.5%	2,897	9,817	\$7,147,576.98	18.5%
West Kern	6	\$25,166,575.00	4,816	\$4,425,904.00	17.6%	5,479	45.9%	6,448	11,927	\$5,659,187.03	22.5%
West Valley-Mission	4	\$75,368,121.00	9,117	\$8,378,523.00	11.1%	9,803	39.9%	14,788	24,591	\$10,125,389.75	13.4%
Yosemite	5	\$98,219,989.00	28,063	\$25,789,897.00	26.3%	22,279	77.7%	6,389	28,668	\$23,011,686.05	23.4%
Yuba	2	\$50,924,003.00	12,292	\$11,296,348.00	22.2%	9,398	79.4%	2,444	11,842	\$9,707,070.58	19.1%
StatewideTotals >>		\$6,950,973,273.00	1,519,299	\$1,396,235,781.00	20.1%	1,351,780	60.8%	873,026	2,224,806	\$1,396,235,781.00	20.1%

Cal-PASS Statewide tally (appears to correct for students attending multiple districts) >>

1,283,887 60.2% 849,959 2,133,846

Source: <https://www.calpassplus.org/LaunchBoard/Student-Success-Metrics.aspx>

* **Special Populations defined in Perkins V** — individuals with disabilities; individuals from economically disadvantaged families, including low-income youth and adults; individuals preparing for non-traditional fields; single parents, including single pregnant women; out-of-workforce individuals; English learners; homeless individuals described in section 725 of the McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. 11434a); youth who are in, or have aged out of, the foster care system; and youth with a parent who is a member of the armed forces and is on active duty.

** **Percentage of TCR** — is calculated to provide a ballpark sense of how the allocation performs in comparison to SB 361; due to recent rollback activity, the actual ongoing performance under SB 361 may actually be a little higher than the percentage shown. Since the Supplemental Allocation accounts for 20% of total apportionment, a proportion below 20% indicates that the allocation drives a funding reduction to the district. For example, Ohlone's allocation at 10.1% of TCR means the district would face a cut of about 9.9% in total funding, as a direct impact of the Supplemental Allocation, despite that the district serves a significant population of low-income students.

Illustration prepared by Dave Fouquet, President, Chabot-Las Positas Faculty Association

dfouquet@chabotcollege.edu