

The SCFF's "Supplemental" Allocation's Reductionist Structure

*The Promise of ENHANCED RESOURCES to Improve Outcomes for Low-Income Students*

*is a **SHAMEFUL BETRAYAL** to some **566,000** of them!*

**HINT** — That's more than **37%** of the **1.52 million** recognized financial aid utilizations

NOTE: This table shows the 36 districts for which the Supplemental Allocation returns LESS than 20% of TCR, as of 2018-19 P2

Negatively Impacted District	Region	2017-18 TCR + 2.71%	Perkins Economically Disadvantaged Headcount	2018-19 P2 Financial Aid Headcount	2018-19 P2 Supplemental Allocation @ \$919		(Approximate) ISOLATED IMPACT of the SUPPLEMENTAL ALLOCATION on the District's COMPUTATIONAL REVENUE
						% of TCR *	
Allan Hancock	6	\$60,006,490	12,650	12,421	\$11,414,899	19.0%	<b>NO</b> new resources — <b>1.0% REDUCTION</b> in total funding!
Cabrillo	4	\$62,721,594	9,477	10,097	\$9,279,143	14.8%	<b>NO</b> new resources — <b>5.2% REDUCTION</b> in total funding!
Chabot-Las Positas	4	\$110,597,916	24,963	16,703	\$15,350,057	13.9%	<b>NO</b> new resources — <b>6.1% REDUCTION</b> in total funding!
Contra Costa	3	\$174,860,154	27,089	29,771	\$27,359,549	15.6%	<b>NO</b> new resources — <b>4.4% REDUCTION</b> in total funding!
Feather River	1	\$13,999,898	2,256	1,641	\$1,508,079	10.8%	<b>NO</b> new resources — <b>9.2% REDUCTION</b> in total funding!
Foothill-De Anza	4	\$151,922,001	23,921	21,988	\$20,206,972	13.3%	<b>NO</b> new resources — <b>6.7% REDUCTION</b> in total funding!
Gavilan	4	\$33,146,984	4,725	5,156	\$4,738,364	14.3%	<b>NO</b> new resources — <b>5.7% REDUCTION</b> in total funding!
Glendale	7	\$90,610,396	15,814	18,224	\$16,747,856	18.5%	<b>NO</b> new resources — <b>1.5% REDUCTION</b> in total funding!
Lake Tahoe	2	\$14,700,532	2,763	2,714	\$2,494,166	17.0%	<b>NO</b> new resources — <b>3.0% REDUCTION</b> in total funding!
Marin	3	\$26,300,883	3,143	3,434	\$3,155,846	12.0%	<b>NO</b> new resources — <b>8.0% REDUCTION</b> in total funding!
Mendocino-Lake	2	\$23,042,131	3,653	4,367	\$4,013,273	17.4%	<b>NO</b> new resources — <b>2.6% REDUCTION</b> in total funding!
Monterey	4	\$39,942,761	6,270	6,683	\$6,141,677	15.4%	<b>NO</b> new resources — <b>4.6% REDUCTION</b> in total funding!
Mt. San Antonio	8	\$181,205,395	30,636	38,305	\$35,202,295	19.4%	<b>NO</b> new resources — <b>0.6% REDUCTION</b> in total funding!
Napa Valley	2	\$32,477,200	5,213	5,648	\$5,190,512	16.0%	<b>NO</b> new resources — <b>4.0% REDUCTION</b> in total funding!
North Orange CO	8	\$207,531,995	39,457	39,338	\$36,151,622	17.4%	<b>NO</b> new resources — <b>2.6% REDUCTION</b> in total funding!
Ohlone	4	\$50,085,990	5,840	5,501	\$5,055,419	10.1%	<b>NO</b> new resources — <b>9.9% REDUCTION</b> in total funding!
Palo Verde	9	\$17,087,631	3,894	3,554	\$3,266,126	19.1%	<b>NO</b> new resources — <b>0.9% REDUCTION</b> in total funding!
Palomar	10	\$111,490,107	20,918	20,245	\$18,605,155	16.7%	<b>NO</b> new resources — <b>3.3% REDUCTION</b> in total funding!

Negatively Impacted District	Region	2017-18 TCR + 2.71%	Perkins Economically Disadvantaged Headcount	2018-19 P2 Financial Aid Headcount	2018-19 P2 Supplemental Allocation @ \$919		% of TCR *	(Approxomate) ISOLATED IMPACT of the SUPPLEMENTAL ALLOCATION on the District's COMPUTATIONAL REVENUE	
Peralta	3	\$117,782,211	23,662	24,599	\$22,606,481	19.2%	NO new resources —	0.8% REDUCTION	in total funding!
Rancho Santiago	8	\$169,318,347	30,679	27,520	\$25,290,880	14.9%	NO new resources —	5.1% REDUCTION	in total funding!
Redwoods	1	\$27,626,532	4,813	5,862	\$5,387,178	19.5%	NO new resources —	0.5% REDUCTION	in total funding!
San Diego	10	\$252,718,089	52,312	48,985	\$45,017,215	17.8%	NO new resources —	2.2% REDUCTION	in total funding!
San Francisco	3	\$131,835,157	24,855	17,497	\$16,079,743	12.2%	NO new resources —	7.8% REDUCTION	in total funding!
San Luis Obispo	6	\$50,399,559	7,733	8,298	\$7,625,862	15.1%	NO new resources —	4.9% REDUCTION	in total funding!
San Mateo	3	\$100,953,254	15,220	16,016	\$14,718,704	14.6%	NO new resources —	5.4% REDUCTION	in total funding!
Santa Barbara	6	\$74,578,260	14,813	14,018	\$12,882,542	17.3%	NO new resources —	2.7% REDUCTION	in total funding!
Santa Clarita	6	\$97,008,145	15,451	15,778	\$14,499,982	14.9%	NO new resources —	5.1% REDUCTION	in total funding!
Santa Monica	7	\$133,439,383	26,241	28,907	\$26,565,533	19.9%	NO new resources —	0.1% REDUCTION	in total funding!
Sierra	2	\$88,915,754	15,089	18,115	\$16,647,685	18.7%	NO new resources —	1.3% REDUCTION	in total funding!
Siskiyou	1	\$18,642,887	1,808	2,114	\$1,942,766	10.4%	NO new resources —	9.6% REDUCTION	in total funding!
Solano	2	\$49,841,121	9,037	8,239	\$7,571,641	15.2%	NO new resources —	4.8% REDUCTION	in total funding!
Sonoma	3	\$109,817,839	17,210	15,087	\$13,864,953	12.6%	NO new resources —	7.4% REDUCTION	in total funding!
South Orange	8	\$156,810,869	23,065	21,917	\$20,141,723	12.8%	NO new resources —	7.2% REDUCTION	in total funding!
Ventura	6	\$157,992,512	26,688	33,704	\$30,973,976	19.6%	NO new resources —	0.4% REDUCTION	in total funding!
West Kern	6	\$25,166,575	5,479	4,816	\$4,425,904	17.6%	NO new resources —	2.4% REDUCTION	in total funding!
West Valley-Mission	4	\$75,368,121	9,803	9,117	\$8,378,523	11.1%	NO new resources —	8.9% REDUCTION	in total funding!
<i>Totals for the 36 Districts Shown Above &gt;&gt;</i>		\$3,239,944,673	566,640	566,379	\$520,502,301	16.1%	NO new resources —	3.9% AVG. REDUCTION	IN FUNDING
<b>STATEWIDE Total &gt;&gt;</b>		<b>\$6,950,973,273</b>	<b>1,351,780</b>	<b>1,519,299</b>	<b>\$1,396,235,781</b>	<b>20.1%</b>	<b>SLIGHT INCREASE (0.1%) IN TOTAL FUNDING</b>		
			41.92%	37.28%					

\* **Percentage of TCR** — is calculated to provide a ballpark sense of how the allocation performs in comparison to SB 361; due to recent rollback activity, the actual ongoing performance under SB 361 may actually be a little higher than the percentage shown. Since the Supplemental Allocation accounts for 20% of total apportionment, a proportion below 20% indicates that the allocation drives a funding reduction to the district. For example, Ohlone's allocation at 10.1% of TCR means the district would face a cut of about 9.9% in total funding, as a direct impact of the Supplemental Allocation, despite that the district serves a significant population of low-income students.